

Mock Test Paper - Series III: June, 2024

Date of Paper: 7th June, 2024

Time of Paper: 2 P.M. to 5 P.M.

FOUNDATION COURSE

PAPER 2: BUSINESS LAWS

Question No. 1 is compulsory.

*Answer any **four** questions from the remaining **five** questions.*

Wherever necessary, suitable assumptions should be made and disclosed by way of note forming part of the answer.

(Time allowed: 3 Hours)

(100 Marks)

1. (a) (i) Rahul found a smart watch in a restaurant. He enquired about all the customers present there but the true owner could not be found. He handed over the same to the manager of the restaurant to keep till the true owner is found. After a week he went back to the restaurant to enquire about the smart watch. The manager refused to return it to Rahul, saying that it did not belong to Rahul. In the light of the Indian Contract Act, 1872, can Rahul recover it from the Manager? **(4 Marks)**

(ii) Mr. Vikas a businessman has been fighting a long-drawn litigation with Mr. Neeraj an industrialist. To support his legal campaign, he enlists the services of Mr. Manoj a Judicial officer stating that the amount of ₹10 lakhs would be paid to him if he does not take up the brief of Mr. Neeraj.

Mr. Manoj agrees but, at the end of the litigation Mr. Vikas refuses to pay to Mr. Manoj. Decide whether Mr. Manoj can recover the amount promised by Mr. Vikas under the provisions of the Indian Contract Act, 1872? **(3 Marks)**

(b) (i) Mr. Samyak was appointed as an employee of Moonlight Timber Private Limited on the condition that if he was to leave his employment, he will not solicit customers of the company. After some time, he was fired from the company. He set up his own business under proprietorship and undercut Moonlight Timber Private Limited's prices. On the legal advice from his legal consultant and to refrain from the provisions of breach of contract, he formed a new company under the name Nine Stars Timbers Private Limited. In this company, his wife and a friend of Mr. Samyak were the sole shareholders and directors. They took over Samyak's business and continued it. Moonlight Timber Private Limited files a suit against Nine Stars Timbers Private Limited for violation of contract. Nine Stars Timbers Private Limited argued

that the contract was entered into between Mr. Samyak and Moonlight Timber Private Limited and as the company has separate legal entity, Nine Stars Timbers Private Limited has not violated the terms of agreement. Explain with reasons, whether separate legal entity between Mr. Samyak and Nine Stars Timbers Private Limited will be disregarded? **(4 Marks)**

(ii) Pacific Motors Limited is a government company. Rama Auto Private Limited is a private company having share capital of ten crores in the form of ten lacs shares of ₹ 100 each. Pacific Motors Limited is holding five lacs five thousand shares in Rama Auto Private Limited. Rama Auto Private Limited claimed the status of Government Company. Advise as legal advisor, whether Rama Auto Private Limited is government company under the provisions of Companies Act, 2013? **(3 Marks)**

(c) (i) When the continuing guarantee can be revoked under the Indian Partnership Act, 1932? **(2 Marks)**

(ii) With reference to the provisions of Indian partnership Act, 1932 explain the various effects of insolvency of a partner. **(4 Marks)**

2. (a) Mrs. Seema went to the local rice and wheat wholesale shop and asked for 100 kgs of Basmati rice. The Shopkeeper quoted the price of the same as ₹ 125 per kg to which she agreed. Mrs. Seema insisted that she would like to see the sample of what would be provided to her by the shopkeeper before she agreed upon such a purchase.

The shopkeeper showed her a bowl of rice as a sample. The sample exactly corresponded to the entire lot.

The buyer examined the sample casually without noticing the fact that even though the sample was that of Basmati Rice, it contained a mix of long and short grains.

The cook on opening the bags complained that the dish, if prepared with the rice would not taste the same as the quality of rice was not as per requirement of the dish.

Now Mrs. Seema wants to file a suit of fraud against the seller alleging him of selling a mix of good and cheap quality rice. Will she be successful?

Explain the basic law on sale by sample under Sale of Goods Act, 1930?

What would be your answer in case Mrs. Seema specified her exact requirement as to length of rice? **(7 Marks)**

(b) (i) Explain listed company and unlisted company as per the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013. **(2 Marks)**

- (ii) Explain the classification of the companies on the basis of control as per the Companies Act, 2013. **(5 Marks)**
- (c) (i) Who are the individuals which shall not be capable of becoming a partner of a Limited Liability Partnership? **(3 Marks)**
- (ii) What are the effects of registration of Limited Liability Partnership? **(3 Marks)**
3. (a) (i) Mr. Ram and Mr. Raheem are working as teachers in Ishwarchand Vidhyasagar Higher Secondary School and also are very good friends. They jointly purchased a flat which was given on rent to Mr. John. It was decided between landlords and tenant that the rent would be ₹ 10,000 per month inclusive of electricity bill. It means electricity bill will be paid by landlords. The landlords, by mistake, did not pay the electricity bill for the month of March 2023. Due to this, the electricity department cut the connection. Mr. John has to pay the electricity bill of ₹ 2800 and ₹ 200 as penalty to resume the electricity connection. Mr. John claimed ₹ 3000 from Mr. Ram but Mr. Ram replied that he is liable only for ₹ 1500.
- Mr. John said that Mr. Ram and Mr. Raheem are partners therefore he can claim the full amount from any of the partners. Explain, whether under the provision of Indian Partnership Act, 1932, Mr. Ram is liable to pay whole amount of ₹ 3000 to Mr. John? **(4 Marks)**
- (ii) Explain in detail the circumstances which lead to liability of firm for misapplication by partners as per provisions of the Indian Partnership Act, 1932. **(3 Marks)**
- (b) Mr. R, a manufacturer of toys approached MNO Private Limited for supply of raw material worth ₹ 1,50,000/-. Mr. R was offered a credit period of one month. Mr. R went to the company prior to the due date and met Mr. C, an employee at the billing counter, who convinced the former that the payment can be made to him as the billing-cashier is on leave.
- Mr. R paid the money and was issued a signed and sealed receipt by Mr. C. After the lapse of due date, Mr. R received a recovery notice from the company for the payment of ₹ 1,50,000/-.
- Mr. R informed the company that he had already paid the above amount and being an outsider had genuine reasons to trust Mr. C who claimed to be an employee and had issued him a receipt.

The Company filed a suit against Mr. R for non-payment of dues. Discuss the fate of the suit and the liability of Mr. R towards company as on current date in consonance with the provision of the Companies Act, 2013? Would your answer be different if a receipt under the company seal was not issued by Mr. C after receiving payment? **(7 Marks)**

(c) Define consideration. What are the legal rules regarding consideration under the Indian Contract Act, 1872? **(6 Marks)**

4. (a) (i) Mr. A, the employer induced his employee Mr. B to sell his one room flat to him at less than the market value to secure promotion. Mr. B sold the flat to Mr. A. Later on, Mr. B changed his mind and decided to sue Mr. A. Examine the validity of the contract as per the provisions of the Indian Contract Act, 1872. **(3 Marks)**

(ii) Mr. S promises Mr. M to paint a family picture for ₹ 20,000 and assures to complete his assignment by 15th March, 2023. Unfortunately, Mr. S died in a road accident on 1st March, 2023 and his assignment remains undone. Can Mr. M bind the legal representative of Mr. S for the promise made by Mr. S? Suppose Mr. S had promised to deliver some photographs to Mr. M on 15th March, 2023 against a payment of ₹ 10,000 but he dies before that day. Will his representative be bound to deliver the photographs in this situation?

Decide as per the provisions of the Indian Contract Act, 1872.

(4 Marks)

(b) Explain the Rules as to compensation payable in case of dishonour of promissory note, bill of exchange or cheque, by any party liable to the holder or any endorsee covered under the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. **(7 Marks)**

(c) Write a short note on the following:

(i) Ministry of Corporate Affairs (MCA)

(ii) Ministry of Home Affairs

(6 Marks)

5. (a) (i) Rachit arranges an auction to sale an antic wall clock. Deepa, being one of the bidders, gives the highest bid. For announcing the completion of sale, the auctioneer falls the hammer on table but suddenly hammer brakes and damages the watch. Deepa wants to avoid the contract. Can she do so under the provisions of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930? **(4 Marks)**

(ii) X contracted to sell his car to Y. They did not discuss the price of the car at all. X later refused to sell his car to Y on the ground that the agreement was void being uncertain about price. Can Y demand the car under the Sale of Goods Act, 1930? **(3 Marks)**

- (b) "Partner indeed virtually embraces the character of both a principal and an agent". Describe the said statement keeping in view of the provisions of the Indian Partnership Act, 1932. **(7 Marks)**
- (c) State the essential elements of a contract of bailment. **(6 Marks)**
6. (a) Shankar drew a cheque in favour of Surendar. After having issued the cheque, Shankar requested Surendar not to present the cheque for payment and gave a stop payment request to the bank in respect of the cheque issued to Surendar. Decide, under the provisions of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 whether the said acts of Shankar constitute an offence? **(7 Marks)**
- (b) Define contract of indemnity and contract of guarantee and state the conditions when guarantee is considered invalid? **(6 Marks)**
- (c) (i) State the various essential elements involved in the sale of unascertained goods and their appropriation as per the Sale of Goods Act, 1930. **(4 Marks)**
- (ii) What are the consequences of the destruction of specified goods, before making of contract and after the agreement to sell under the Sale of Goods Act, 1930. **(3 Marks)**

Navigyan Edutech
Sign of Trust